Polymer Dynamics in Aqueous Poly(ethylene oxide) Solutions. An NMR Study

T. W. N. Bieze,† J. R. C. van der Maarel,† C. D. Eisenbach,† and J. C. Leyte*†

Department of Physical and Macromolecular Chemistry, Leiden University, Gorlaeus Laboratories, P.O. Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands, and Makromolekulare Chemie II, Universität Bayreuth, Universitätstrasse 30, Postfach 101251, D-8580 Bayreuth, Germany

Received August 9, 1993; Revised Manuscript Received November 30, 1993

ABSTRACT: The spectral density functions of the 2H-methylene nuclei in poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) have been determined by magnetic relaxation measurements in the 0–90 MHz range at six applied field strengths. The relaxation rates were determined in a concentration interval from 0.1 to 40 molomal. No molecular weight dependence was observed in the 3000 < Mw < 50 000 range. In the dilute and semidilute regimes, the spectral density function is interpreted in terms of anisotropic reorientation of a hydrodynamic unit. The corresponding dimensions of the dynamic unit are similar to those of a Kuhn segment. At a concentration where the dynamic unit can no longer reorient freely, the nature of the reorientational dynamics of the polymer segments is severely altered. This point is indicative of the start of the concentrated regime. Both the spectral density curve and the effective activation energies become strongly dependent on the polymer concentration. The 1H- and 2H-NMR relaxation rates show qualitatively different molecular weight and concentration dependencies at a polymer concentration greater than the crossover concentration c**. From the determination of 2H-NMR relaxation rates in mixtures of perdeuterated and hydrogenous polymers at cD > c**, it becomes clear that the different behavior of 1H and 2H is singularly due to an additional intermolecular dipolar coupling in the case of 1H. The latter interaction is modulated on relatively long time scales (τe > 10–8 s).

I. Introduction

Internal polymer dynamics have been studied with a number of spectroscopic techniques such as NMR and neutron diffraction.1,2 How the internal mobility of local structures in solvated macromolecules is related to the local stiffness of the chain has been a longstanding question in polymer physics.3 The rigidity of the chain can be experimentally determined with the aid of light scattering4 and small-angle neutron scattering5 and is quantified by the Kuhn segment length 4. The connection between the internal mobility and intrinsic stiffness of the chain is the main theme of this paper.

With regard to dynamics, NMR has proven to be a valuable tool. Its selectivity allows one to probe specific sites on the polymer chain which are either spectrally resolved6 or isotopically labeled.7 Another advantage is of a more fundamental nature. In some cases the magnitude of the Hamiltonian driving relaxation is known and has a nearly exclusive intramolecular origin (e.g., 2H or 13C incorporated in a C–H bond). Accordingly, this provides one with a means to unambiguously determine the correlation times of the internal polymer motions. Typically in these studies, 2H-, 1H-, and 13C-relaxation rates are determined as a function of temperature8 and/or field strength.10 The latter approach is preferable for it allows one to map out the spectral density curve of a physically and chemically unmodified sample.

Experimental work on polymer systems has shown that, in general, the nuclear quadrupole interaction is averaged on a number of time scales. These systems are characterized by a high degree of internal mobility, even at high volume fractions. In practical terms this means that the bulk of the interaction Hamiltonian is averaged out in the subnanosecond time domain.11–13 Unfortunately, with the present magnetic field strengths, the details of these averaging processes cannot be investigated. Nevertheless, a significant fraction of the interaction is left to be averaged out on longer time scales (τe > 10–8 s). A large number of models are available to describe the dispersion in the spectral density curve. This reflects the fact that there are numerous and, indeed, widely differing opinions on the nature of the physical processes which cause the experimentally observed correlation times. These models can basically be categorized into two groups: (1) diffusion of rotational motions described by the diffusion equation or (2) jump models (see ref 14 and references therein for an extensive survey).

In this paper we shall use an axial symmetric rotational diffusion model (ARDM) to describe the internal polymer motions. In previous work15–18 it has been shown that the deuterium spectral densities (including the contribution at zero frequency) from dilute and semidilute polymer and polyelectrolyte solutions can be adequately described with this model. On a number of occasions various models were compared and the ARDM was shown to be more appropriate with regard to the quality of the fit and/or number of adjustable parameters.19,20

In a next step, one could compare frictional forces experienced by a reorienting interaction tensor within the polymer (e.g., C–D bond) to those of a reorienting hydrodynamic body. With the aid of a simple model one can then use the diffusion constants to calculate the dimensions of this representative reorienting body (e.g., with length lDyn). The essence of this approach is that length scales extracted from dynamic NMR data can now be linked to the more general concept of the Kuhn length (4). The Kuhn length is the length over which orientation correlation in the polymer chain becomes negligible and thus directly reflects the stiffness of the chain. Accordingly, the dynamic length lDyn may be compared to 4. In previous work it has been shown that the 2H-spectral density is sensitive to both the intrinsic21 and the
The ratio $c^*$ is approached from the dilute side. Grassley estimated $c^*$ from the relationship $c^* = 0.77/\langle \eta \rangle^{*29}$ [*$\langle \eta \rangle$ is defined as the point where $[\eta]$ and $c$ start to diverge. Allowing for other criteria also, an overlap region from 5 to 20 g L$^{-1}$ is to be expected for flexible polymers. It is in these highly condensed PEO solutions ($c_p > c^*$) that the $^{1}H$- and $^{2}H$-relaxation rates show a qualitatively different $c_p$-dependence. Since the monomers are in each other's proximity, $^{1}H$-relaxation rates may be expected to be additionally enhanced by intermolecular dipole–dipole couplings. Papers discussing $^{1}H$-relaxation in connection with polymer dynamics in such systems (concentrated solutions or melts) usually do not mention the molecular origin of the interactions contributing to the different frequency regimes in $^{1}H$-spectral density. In the present work the intermolecular and intramolecular contributions have been separated by determination of the $^{1}H$-relaxation rates in mixtures of deuterated and hydrogenated polymers.

II. Experimental Section

Specifications of poly(ethylene oxide) fractions used in this study are listed in Table 1. Hydrogen-containing polymers (d1–d3) were obtained from Merck. Perdeuterated poly(ethylene oxide) (d1–d5) was synthesized by anionic polymerization of highly pure ethylene-d$_4$ oxide with potassium 2-methoxyethanolate as initiator in the presence of a cryptand in THF as solvent; the molecular weight is controlled by the ratio of the initial monomer/initiator concentration. Fraction d4 was purchased from MSD (Quebec, Canada). The characterization of the sample used in this work is compiled in Table 1. Hydrogen contamination in deuterated polymers was determined by prepolymerization GC-MS analysis and/or postpolymerization $^{1}H$-NMR. The ratio $^{1}H$/$^{2}H$ never exceeded 0.01. The polymers were characterized by GPC in 0.1 M KNO$_3$ on a Waters Model ALC/GPC equipped with Toyo Soda TSK-PW columns (G3000 SW, 3000PW, M$_{n}$ ≤ 20 000; G5000 for M$_{n}$ > 20 000). A Dawn F Malls detector (Wyatt Tech) was used. All light water was purified by a Milli-Q installation (Millipore Corp.) and nitrogen saturated.

Traces of paramagnetic impurities in the polymer material were removed by means of ligand extraction. To this end, PEO

| Table 1. Characteristics of Hydrogenous and Perdeuterated Polymer Fractions |
|-----------------|---|---|---|
| Fraction         | $M_w$ | $N$ | $M_w/M_n$ |
| d1              | 3000  | 60  | 1.1       |
| d2              | 5100  | 100 | 1.1       |
| d3              | 7200  | 150 | 1.1       |
| d4              | 11200 | 240 | 1.9       |
| d5              | 50000 | 1000| 1.2       |
| h1              | 1500  | 34  | 1.06      |
| h2              | 20000 | 450 | 1.4       |
| h3              | 35000 | 800 | 1.3       |

*a* Coding will be used in the text.

Keeping the concept of the dynamic length $l_{\text{dyn}}$ in mind, we will discuss the influence of the concentration ($c_p$) on the internal polymer mobility. To this end, the relaxation rates have been determined in a concentration interval which spans the dilute, semidilute, and concentrated regimes. The various concentration regimes for PEO at 298 K are shown in Figure 1. The concentration ($c_p$) and molecular weight ($M_w$) range enclosed by the boxes has been investigated in this work. One would expect the internal mobility to diminish once the volumes swept out by objects of length $l_{\text{dyn}}$ start to interpenetrate. The point at which this occurs is defined as $c_{\text{down}}$. Due to the intrinsic flexibility of the PEO chain, $l_{\text{dyn}}$ is not expected to be large. Consequently, the transition concentration $c_{\text{down}}$ will be rather high. Since the dynamic length $l_{\text{dyn}}$ can be obtained from the spectral density of a diluted PEO solution, $c_{\text{down}}$ can be calculated. It is shown here that the decrease in the internal polymer mobility is connected to $c_{\text{down}}$. The concentration $c_{\text{down}}$ is within the $c^*$ region shown in Figure 1. The apparent concentration between $c_{\text{down}}$ and $c^*$ will be discussed in simple terms.

The phase diagram shown in Figure 1 has been calculated according to conventional polymer theory.\textsuperscript{22,23} Concentration regimes do not show abrupt transitions; gradual transition regions are more appropriate. Accordingly, the approximate character of $c^*$ and $c^*$ has been emphasized in the diagram. The overall polymer dimensions, necessary to calculate $c^*$, were obtained from light scattering experiments.\textsuperscript{4} The aqueous PEO solutions used in this study were claimed to be aggregate-free.\textsuperscript{24} Combining these results with studies done on PEO in the unperturbed state,\textsuperscript{25} one obtains for the mean-square end-to-end distance

$$\langle R^2 \rangle_{298 K} = 4 \langle R^2 \rangle_0$$

In case of random-flight chains with correlations between neighboring bonds, the so-called characteristic ratio $C = \langle R^2 \rangle_0/n^2$ reflects short-range interference, the average squared bond length being $\langle R^2 \rangle_0 = (1.8 \times 10^{-20} \text{ A}^2)$ and $n$ denoting the number of bonds. For PEO this ratio equals $\approx 5.2$.\textsuperscript{25} Substituting for $\langle R^2 \rangle_0$ in eq 1, the Kuhn segment length at 298 K is obtained. The $M_w$ dependence of $c^*$ can then be calculated. A number of different concepts\textsuperscript{26} have been used to approximate the volume occupied by the swollen chain.

The vertical lines in Figure 1 demark the crossover region from the semidilute to the concentrated regime, $c^*$. It should be noted that, in a good solvent system, the entangled chains should converge on their unperturbed size limit as $c^*$ is approached from the dilute side. The electrostatic contribution to the persistence length. This last point was illustrated by the shape of the spectral density curve of methylene groups on poly(acrylic acid) at various degrees of neutralization. The slowest process revealed by the spectral density function correlated with the electrostatic persistence length in a consistent manner.\textsuperscript{15}

Electrostatic persistence lengths are more appropriate. Accordingly, the relaxation regimes do not approximate the volume occupied by the swollen chain.

Figure 1. Overlap concentrations for PEO solutions as a function of molecular mass and polymer concentration at room temperature. To calculate $c^*$, the volume occupied by a single chain was estimated using $c^* \sim 3M_w/4N_A\pi R_g^2 (-)$, $M_w/2N_A\pi R^2 (-)$, and $M_w/N_A (R/2)^3 (\cdot \cdot \cdot)$. For $c^*$, polymer volume fraction $\phi = 0.05 (- \cdot \cdot \cdot)$ and 0.2 (\cdot \cdot \cdot). The $M_w$ and $c_p$ range covered in this work is indicated by the box. ($R_g$ = radius of gyration; $R$ = root-mean-square end-to-end distance; $N_A$ = Avogadro’s number.)

$C_p$ (monomol/kg H$_2$O)
was dissolved in a 1 M EDTA solution and dialyzed repeatedly against water. Prior to sample preparation, solutions were freeze-dried. The light water content was determined using IR, with the final water content always being less than 0.5%. Hydrogenous polymer fractions were dissolved in nitrogen-saturated D₂O (Merck), whereas deuterated fractions were dissolved in light water. At least 3 days were allowed for equilibration. Solutions were stored at 4°C in the dark to minimize biological and photochemical degradation.

The NMR experiments were performed on pulse spectrometers at six different field strengths. See Table 2. Spin–lattice relaxation rates \( R_1 \) were determined using phase-alternating inversion-recovery experiments \( \pi \rightarrow \pi \). Transverse relaxation rates \( R_2 \) were obtained by CPGM sequences \( \pi/2 \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \pi/2 \) with exorcyle. Usually 128 data points were collected. Exponential fits were performed using a least-squares fit procedure.

Sample temperature was controlled by a Bruker VT-1000 thermostat (6.3 and 14.1 T) using air or nitrogen or a frigomix 1495/1441 with Fluorinert (grade FC45; 3M Corp.) as coolant (0.6, 1.05, and 2.1 T). The temperature in the probe was determined before and after the actual NMR experiment by measuring the temperature at the sample position in a reference NMR tube fitted with a calibrated thermocouple. The temperature stability was within 0.1°C.

### III. Theoretical Background

For a covalently bound deuterium nucleus, the nuclear magnetic relaxation is determined intramolecularly by the quadrupole interaction. The theory of quadrupole relaxation is treated in ref 34. The observed relaxation rates are determined by the spectral density of the electric field gradient (EFG) fluctuations at 0, 1, and 2 times the resonance frequency \( \omega_0 \) at which the experiment is performed. In formulae:

\[
R_1 = \frac{3}{160}(2\pi \chi)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{\eta^2}{3} \right) [2J(\omega_0) + 8J(2\omega_0)] \tag{2}
\]

\[
R_2 = \frac{3}{160}(2\pi \chi)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{\eta^2}{3} \right) [3J(0) + 5J(\omega_0) + 2J(2\omega_0)] \tag{3}
\]

The coupling constant \( \chi = e^2 Q Q / h \) takes the value 170 kHz. In the case of an aliphatic C-D bond, the asymmetry parameter \( \eta \) is negligible. The fact that \( \eta \approx 0 \) implies that rotations around the C-D bond do not contribute to relaxation.

For an exponential correlation function, the reduced spectral density takes the Lorentzian form:

\[
J(\omega) = \frac{2\tau_c}{1 + (\omega \tau_c)^2} \tag{4}
\]

In the case of anisotropic diffusion, the correlation function becomes multiexponential, with the correlation times and amplitudes being interdependent. For axially symmetric anisotropic diffusion, the reduced spectral density takes the form

\[
J(\omega) = \sum \mathcal{D}_m(\Omega_{MD}) \mathcal{D}_m(\Omega_{MR}) \mathcal{D}_m(\Omega_{RD}) \tag{5}
\]

where Wigner elements \( \mathcal{D}_m(\Omega_{MD}) \) describe the transformation of the EFG tensor principal axis system (MOL) to the diffusion principal axis system (DIF). The principal axis of the EFG interaction tensor lies along the \( ^1H-C \) bond. The orientation of REF with respect to MOL is given by angles \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) (35.25° and 144.75°, respectively). The angles \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) determine the orientation of the diffusion principal axis (DIF) with respect to REF:

\[
J(\omega) = \frac{2\tau_c}{1 + (\omega \tau_c)^2} \tag{6}
\]

The Wigner matrix elements \( \mathcal{D}_m(\Omega_{MD}) \) describe the transformation of the MOL to the DIF. The relative orientations of these two tensors have to be taken into account. For this purpose, it is convenient to introduce a molecule fixed axis reference frame (REF). See Figure 2 for the orientations of different frames with respect to the proposed nuclear unit in the PEO monomer.

The Wigner elements can now be written as

\[
\mathcal{D}_m(\Omega_{MD}) = \sum \mathcal{D}_m(\Omega_{MR}) \mathcal{D}_m(\Omega_{RD}) \tag{7}
\]

The Wigner matrix elements \( \mathcal{D}_m(\Omega_{MD}) \) describe the relative orientation of REF with respect to the MOL. The Euler angles \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) are determined by the position DIF with respect to REF. Euler angles \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) in matrix elements \( \mathcal{D}_m(\Omega_{RD}) \) refer to the position of the diffusion frame with respect to REF and are fitted parameters.

In the case of axial symmetry, the correlation times \( \tau_k(\tau_{k}) \) are congruent with a number of dynamic models.

- **Model a:** axially symmetric rotation diffusion

\[
\tau_0 = (6D_L)^{-1}, \quad \tau_1 = (5D_L + D_J)^{-1}, \quad \tau_2 = (2D_L + 4D_J)^{-1} \tag{8}
\]

where \( D_L \) and \( D_J \) are the diffusion elements in the DIF frame.

- **Model b:** axially symmetric rotation diffusion combined with an internal rotation diffusion \( D_I \) around the z-axis of DIF
\[ \tau_0 = (6D_\perp)^{-1} \quad \tau_1 = (5D_\perp + D_\parallel + D_i)^{-1} \]
\[ \tau_2 = (2D_\perp + 4D_\parallel + 4D_i)^{-1} \]  
(8)

model c: spherical rotation diffusion \( D_0 \) combined with an internal rotation diffusion \( D_i \) around the \( z \)-axis of DIF

\[ \tau_0 = (6D_0)^{-1} \quad \tau_1 = (5D_0 + D_i)^{-1} \]
\[ \tau_2 = (6D_0 + 4D_i)^{-1} \]  
(9)

In eqs 7 and 8, \( D_i \) and \( D_\perp \) may be thought of as being the diffusion constants of an axially symmetric rotating ellipsoid. Perrin\(^\text{36}\) derived expressions relating these diffusion constants to the hydrodynamic dimensions of an ellipsoid \((D_i > D_\perp)\):

\[
D_\perp^{-1} = 32\pi\eta L_\perp \left[ \frac{p^2(1 - p^2)}{2 - p^2 S} \right] / 3kT \tag{10}
\]

\[
D_\parallel^{-1} = 32\pi\eta L_\parallel \left[ \frac{1 - p^4}{S(2 - p^2) - 2} \right] / 3kT \tag{11}
\]

where

\[
S = \frac{2}{(1 - p^2)^{3/2}} \ln \left[ 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{p^2}{2} \right)^{1/2} \right] / p
\]

\[ p = L_i / L_\perp \]  
(12)

\( L_i \) and \( L_\perp \) are the semilengths of the long and short axes, respectively, of the ellipsoid of rotation and \( \eta \) denotes the viscosity of the medium.

Finally, in eq 9, the spherical overall diffusion constant can be related to the hydrodynamic volume of a sphere with radius \( a_0 \) according to the Stokes–Einstein equation

\[
D_0^{-1} = 24\pi\eta a_0^3 / 3kT \tag{13}
\]

IV. Results

In this work, the relaxation rates of \(^1\text{H}, \text{D}, \text{H}_2\text{O} \) as a function of concentration and molecular weight. Data indicated with arrows are from solidified samples. Legend as in Figure 3.

\[
R_{2d} = (1.01 \times 10^{-2})c_p^2 + 1.17c_p + 16.09 (s^{-1}) \tag{15}
\]

with \( c_p \) in monomolal.

In Figure 4 spuriously high \( R_2 \) values are pointed out by arrows. These originate from fraction d5. Concentrated solutions of d5 could only be prepared by heating the samples to \( \approx 50^\circ\text{C} \). Upon cooling to \( 25^\circ\text{C} \), these samples solidified to a white nontransparent material. This phenomenon can be attributed to phase separation and partial crystallization of PEO. The corresponding data have been excluded from the fit procedure.

Except for fraction d1 and the aforementioned solutions of d5, all polymer fractions showed monoeponential relaxation. The nonexponential behavior of d1 (i.e., the fraction with the smallest molecular weight) is due to the effect of end groups on the average segmental dynamics. This will be discussed later in the paper.

IV.2. Field-Dependent Studies at Low Concentrations \((c_p < c^{**})\). Relaxation data from solutions in which decay rates are molecular weight and concentration independent \((c_p < 2-3 \text{ monomolal})\) yield information about the intrinsic internal mobility of the average polymer segment. To gain more insight into the dynamics in this region, the deuteron relaxation rates in a 0.5 molal solution of fraction d4 have been determined at five different
temperatures. The results are shown in Table 3. The relaxation rates reported here were reproducible over a 2-year period.

Figure 5. Fits of the anisotropic (ARDM) (--) and isotropic (---) rotational diffusion model to H spectral densities of PEO-d4 at various temperatures: (□) -5, (○) +5, (△) +15, (+) +25°C.

In all fits with the ARDM an anisotropy ratio $D_l/D_{\perp}$ of 20 was used. The inset in the figure shows deviation of the fits to the spectral densities using different anisotropy ratios and Euler angles $\alpha = \beta = 85^\circ$.

magnetic field strengths. The temperature was varied between -5 and +25 °C. Within this temperature range one stays well clear of phase transitions. This was confirmed by DSC measurements.27 These experiments show that, upon cooling, the first endothermic process takes place at -16 °C. The relaxation rates reported here were independent of the temperature history and were reproducible over a 2-year period.

Using eqs 2 and 3, relaxation rates can be transcribed to spectral densities. The spectral densities, shown in Figure 5, are frequency dependent at all temperatures. However, at -5 °C the dispersion is seen most clearly. Upon increasing the temperature up to 25 °C, the dispersion gradually shifts out of the accessible frequency range. The spectral densities have been fitted with isotropic and axially symmetric anisotropic rotational diffusion models. The former does not yield an acceptable fit, for it completely ignores the dispersions. This is illustrated in Figure 5 with the aid of the -5 °C data. The isotropic model gives a correlation time $\tau_0 = 1 \times 10^{-10}$ s. If one attempts to describe the data with a correlation time equal to approximately $1/\omega_0$, the $J(\omega)$ curve is lifted above the experimental data. This is due to the fact that the area under the curve (proportional to $\chi^2$) remains constant. However, since deuterons are connected to an intrinsically linear object, dynamical processes are indeed not expected to be isotropic.

Using the axially symmetric rotational diffusion model (ARDM), the data can be adequately described at all temperatures. The results are shown in Table 3. The diffusion element $D_{\perp}$ ($D_0$) reflects the slowest dynamical process in the polymer chain which contributes to the averaging of the quadrupole interaction. Using $\tau_0 = (6D_{\perp})^{-1}$, the correlation time in the dispersion is observed to decrease from $4.6 \times 10^{-10}$ to $1.3 \times 10^{-10}$ s in the -5 to +25 °C temperature range. The Euler angles $\alpha = \beta = 80^\circ - 90^\circ$ resulted in the better fits. These angles imply that the principal axis of the diffusion tensor coincides with the local orientation of the polymer backbone. It was established that no combination of $D_0$ and $D_{\perp}$ could describe the data using $\alpha$ and/or $\beta < 80^\circ$. To illustrate with which precision $D_0$ and $D_{\perp}$ can be determined, the ARDM was fitted to the dispersion using fixed values for the Euler angles ($\alpha = \beta = 85^\circ$). The ratio $D_l/D_{\perp}$ was varied in the 1–200 range, leaving $D_{\perp}$ as an adjustable parameter. The inset in Figure 5 shows the sum squared deviation representative for fits to the -5 and +5 °C data. An asymmetric minimum is observed at an anisotropy ratio $D_l/D_{\perp} \approx 20$. Values up to $D_l/D_{\perp} = 40$ are still considered to produce acceptable fits; larger ratios fail to describe $J(\omega)$ at high frequencies. At +15 and +25 °C the dispersion is too weak to determine the optimal anisotropy ratio independently. However, the ratio $D_l/D_{\perp}$ may be expected to be insensitive to the temperature, a point which shall be commented on in the discussion.

If the polymer methylene units are envisaged to be embedded in a hydrodynamic particle, one can calculate the apparent hydrodynamic dimensions provided that the viscosity can be estimated. The effective viscosity within the swollen polymer is assumed to be equal to the pure water value at the corresponding sample temperature. We recall that a number of motional models (models a–c, section III) are consistent with the correlation function used to describe the spectral density. In the case of axially symmetric diffusion, the hydrodynamic body may tentatively be associated with an ellipsoid of revolution. The corresponding length ($2L_l$) and axial diameter ($2L_{\perp}$) are found to be 2.1–2.6 and 0.2–0.3 nm, respectively (model a). In the case of isotropic overall rotation diffusion $D_0$ combined with internal rotation around $D_0$ (model c), the diameter of the sphere is calculated to be 1.1–1.4 nm. The results are summarized in Table 5. Hydrodynamic dimensions according to model b will yield results intermediate to those of models a and c. It is stressed that whatever hydrodynamic interpretation is chosen, the size of the reorienting particle is approximately 1–2 nm. These dimensions compare favorably with the Kuhn length; the length of the statistically independent chain unit (see the Introduction).

Table 3. Results from Fitting of the Anisotropic Rotational Diffusion Model to the Spectral Density of a 0.5 molal PEO-d4 Solution ($M_w = 11,400$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>temp (°C)</th>
<th>$D_{\perp}$ ($10^{6}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D_l/D_{\perp}$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>20–40*</td>
<td>85 ± 5</td>
<td>85 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>20–40*</td>
<td>85 ± 5</td>
<td>85 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Fitted values. b Fixed value.

**IV.3.** $^1$H- and $^2$H-Relaxation at High Concentrations ($c_p > c^{**}$). The deuterium relaxation rates are molecular weight independent in all concentration regimes. This is in contrast with the molecular weight dependence of the proton transverse relaxation as observed from PEO-$h_2$. To compare them, relaxation rates have been divided by their value at 0.1 monomol, indicated by the superscript degree. In Figure 6 the ratios $R_{2h}/R_{2h}^{*0}$, $R_{2h}/R_{2h}^{*+1}$, $R_{2h}/R_{2h}^{*+5}$, and $R_{2h}^{*0}/R_{2h}^{*+1}$ from fractions h1-3 and d1-5 are plotted vs the polymer concentration. The $R_{2h}$ and $R_{2h}$ data from ref 21 have been supplemented with new data in the concentrated regime. In the dilute region of Figure 6 all relaxation rates are independent of concentration. At higher concentrations however ($c_p > c^{**}$), $R_{2h}$ shows a more pronounced $c_p$-dependence compared to the corresponding behavior of $R_{2h}$. Furthermore,
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R2h becomes Mw dependent. For the smallest hydrogenated fraction (h1), the ratio R1h/R1h* remains approximately equal to R2d/R2d*. In contrast, the longitudinal relaxation rate enhancement is independent of the isotopic state of the spin probe. The corresponding Mw-independent ratios R1h/R1h* and R1d/R1d* show a similar (slight) cp dependence.

These results suggest that intermolecular dipole–dipole interaction may be responsible for a Mw-dependent contribution to the relaxation at relatively high polymer fractions. The additional contribution is primarily observed in R2h. This means that the temporal behavior of the fluctuating interaction must occur at relatively low frequencies since only R2h (and not R1h, see eqs 2 and 3) probes the spectral density at zero frequency (J(0)).

The effect of an intermolecular contribution to the 1H-spectral density can be evaluated by determination of the 1H-relaxation rates in an isotopically diluted polymer matrix. On replacing hydrogenated polymers by deuterated material, the 1H-relaxation will be progressively determined by dipole–dipole interactions within the polymer chain (intramolecular). Accordingly, samples were prepared in which the deuterated monomer fraction, xd, was varied between 0.15 and 0.9. Samples were prepared in D2O, the concentration amounting to 10.5 molal (volume fraction φ = 0.3) in all cases. Polymer fractions d4 and h2 were used (see Table 1). Figure 7 shows (R1h)d and (R1h)d vs xd. Replacing protonated with deuterated polymers causes a linear decrease in the transverse proton relaxation (R2h)d, whereas (R1h)d is essentially unaffected.

IV.4. Field-Dependent Studies at High Concentration (cp > c**). Figure 6 shows that, at cp > 2.5 molal, R2, and to a lesser extent R1, increases with concentration. In order to gain more insight in time scales and the relative importance of the dynamic processes, a field-dependent study has been conducted in the concentrated regime. Since the details of the relative polymer motions are outside the scope this report, this study was limited to deuterated material (fraction d4). The concentration was varied between 1 and 42 monomolal. Measurements were done at five magnetic field strengths. The corresponding spectral densities are shown in Figure 8.

Figure 6. Longitudinal and transverse proton (open symbols □, 
A, ◦) and deuteron relaxation rates (closed symbol ○) as function of concentration and molecular weight. All values have been divided by the corresponding relaxation rate at 0.1 monomolal (R1,3°). For sake of clarity the ratios R2d/R2d° and R2d/R2d° are shifted +1 along the y-axis: (□) 35000, (◦) 20000, (△) 1500, (○) deuterated samples as in Figures 3 and 4. The approximate position of the crossover concentration c** has been marked.

Figure 7. Proton longitudinal (△) and transverse (○) relaxation rates in mixtures of hydrogenous and perdeuterated PEO, plotted as function of the deuterated monomer fraction xd. Polymer concentration cp is kept constant at 10.5 monomolal. Mw(PEO-d4) = 11 400; Mw(PEO-h4) = 20 000. Solid lines represent linear fits.

Figure 8. 1H-spectral densities of PEO-d4 at cp = 1 (+), 2 (∨), 5 (○), 10 (●), 20 (□), and 42 (▲) monomolal (Mw = 11 400). Solid lines result from fitting a Lorentzian and a base line to the data. The slowest process observed in spectral density has not been included in fit.

Table 4. Results from Fitting a Lorentzian and Base Line to Spectral Densities of Concentrated (cp > c**) PEO Solutions (See Figure 8)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cp (molal)</th>
<th>( \chi_m ) (kHz)</th>
<th>( \tau_m ) (ps)</th>
<th>( \tau_f ) (ps)</th>
<th>( \chi_m^2/\chi_f^2 )</th>
<th>J(0) ( \gamma^2 ) (s^-1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>5.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Parameters \( \chi^2 \), \( \tau \), and J(0) refer to the squared coupling constant, correlation time, and spectral density at \( \omega_0 = 0 \), respectively. Subscripts s, m, and f denote "slow", "medium", and "fast" processes. J(0) is calculated using eq 20.

The anisotropic rotational diffusion model cannot describe the spectral densities at cp > c**. A model which abstracts the internal polymer dynamics to a diffusion-in-a-cone\(^{14}\) process was also unable to fit the data. In view of the data, the spectral density can however be described by a sum of Lorentzians and a base line (Table 4). At least three dynamic processes are responsible for relaxation. These will henceforth be denoted by "slow", "medium", and "fast". Parameters relevant to any of these processes are identified by subscripts s, m, and f. The spectral
density takes the general form

\[ x^2 J(\omega) = \frac{2x_s^2 \tau_s}{1 + \omega^2 \tau_s^2} + \frac{2x_m^2 \tau_m}{1 + \omega^2 \tau_m^2} + x_f^2 J_f \]  

(16)

with

\[ J_f = 2\tau_f \]  

(17)

where \( x_s^2 \) and \( \tau_s \) are the squared coupling constant and the correlation time of the \( s \)th process, respectively. The variable \( J_f \) is a frequency-independent contribution due to rapid motions. It is fitted as a constant base line. Only one data point betrays the existence of a slow process, i.e., \( J(0) \). In a first attempt to fit, the latter contribution is omitted from the dataset and the medium and fast processes are fitted only. The parameters \( \chi_m \) and \( \tau_m \) can be determined unambiguously.

The parameters of the fast process \( (\chi_f \) and \( \tau_f \)) cannot be determined independently from the spectral density. However, it shall be shown that \( \chi_s \) is much smaller than both \( \chi_m \) and \( \chi_f \). In a first approximation one can therefore write

\[ x_f \approx (x_s^2 - x_m^2)^{1/2} = (170000^2 - x_m^2)^{1/2} \]  

(18)

and \( \tau_f \) can be estimated using eq 17.

The exact value of \( \tau_s \) is unknown, i.e., in the sense that it may lie in the range 40 ns < \( \tau_s \) < \( \infty \). The shortest correlation time is determined by the weakest employed permanent magnetic field (4 MHz). To narrow this range, multiple-pulse quadrupole echo experiments were performed on the 42 monomolal sample. The method has been described by Blischarski.38 The pulse spacing \( (\tau_{\text{space}}) \) was varied over 2 orders of magnitude; 40 \( \mu s \) \( \leq \tau_{\text{space}} \leq 4000 \mu s \). When \( \tau_s \ll \tau_{\text{space}} \) no spacing dependence of the relaxation is expected (this was confirmed using D2O). In case of the polymer sample, the multiple quadrupole echo relaxation time and the transverse relaxation time \( T_2 \) were equal within experimental error. This implies that \( \tau_s \) is much smaller than the shortest spacing time, i.e., the upper limit of \( \tau_m \) may be set to, say, 10 \( \mu s \). The correlation time \( \tau_m \) must therefore lie in, say, the 10 \( \mu s \) to 40 ns range. Approximate limits to the fraction of the coupling constant which is averaged out by the slow process can now be set. For the 42 monomolal solution, the ratio \( x_s^2/1.7 \times 10^5 \) may take values between 10-3 and 10-7, depending on the value of the correlation time. The relative smallness of \( \chi_s \) justifies the assumption made to arrive at eq 18.

V. Discussion

V.1. Polymer Dynamics at \( c_p < c^{**} \). In Figures 2 and 3 the relaxation rates, and therefore the internal polymer dynamics, are seen to be independent of \( c_p \) and

\[ M_w \]  

throughout the dilute and semidilute regimes. That polymer motions are indeed independent of \( c_p \) in the semidilute regime is most clearly illustrated by fraction d5 \( (M_w = 50000) \) where one has the largest difference between \( c^* \) and \( c^{**} \). The \( M_w \) independence indicates that all dynamical processes of the polymer chain contributing to \(^2\)H-relaxation are determined by reorientation in the polymer on a local length scale. The \( c_p \) independence of relaxation rates suggests that the size of the dynamical unit in the chain must be small compared to the average distance between entanglements since the reorientation within the polymer chain is unhindered by the initial onset of entanglements in the semidilute regime. A suggestion for the size of such a dynamical unit has been given in section IV.2.

The size of the dynamical unit is extracted from the anisotropy ratio \( D/D_{L} \). At -5 and +5 \( ^\circ \) C the dispersions are sufficiently pronounced to quantify the anisotropy of the motions. The inset in Figure 5 shows that the quality of the fit is best using an anisotropy ratio of \( D/D_{L} \approx 20 \). The element \( D_l \) was oriented more or less along the backbone of the chain. The ratio between the diffusion constants directly reflects the fact that the relaxation rates are determined by dynamical processes occurring on widely different time scales. These dynamic modes may be directly ascribed to the intrinsic reorientational mobility of the polymer chain since the relaxation data were obtained well inside the \( c_p \) (and \( M_w \)) independent range.

It is interesting to compare characteristic length scales obtained for PEO by various techniques. Static techniques such as light scattering38 and SANS\(^3\) have shown that PEO has a characteristic ratio \( (R^2)/n^2 \) of 5.5 in the quasi-unperturbed state. This corresponds to a Kuhn length, \( l_k \), of 1.0-1.2 nm. Interpreting our dynamic results with the isotropic overall rotation of a Kuhn segment combined with an internal mode (model c) produces a dynamic length scale which is consistent with these results. Although at -5 and +5 \( ^\circ \) C one is operating far from \( T_k \), it is not expected that the Kuhn segment length is much larger than the \( \theta \) temperature. The first reason is that an increase in excluded-volume effects at lower temperatures due to a better solvent quality is compensated by screening; at 0.5 monomolal one is operating close to \( c^{**} \). Second, the influence of the temperature on the intrinsic stiffness of the PEO chain is negligible: \( d \ln(R)/dT = 2.3 \times 10^{-4} \),40

Our results may be compared with those obtained by Breen et al.\(^2\) from \(^1\)H-NMR on PEO-H\(_4\) in D2O. Assuming all dynamic modes are in the hydrodynamic limit, correlation times from \(^1\)H-NMR have been corrected for solvent viscosity effects. This correction obtains legitimacy from the fact that the intrinsic viscosities of PEO in D2O and D\(_2\)O are very similar (for \( M_w = 200000 \), \( \eta(D_{2}O) = 1.386 \) and \( \eta(D_{2}O) = 1.354 \)). The results are collected in Table 6.

For the dynamic interpretation of the \(^1\)H data, knowledge of the total interaction Hamiltonian is a prerequisite. In a dilute polymer solution in D2O, where intermolecular spin–spin interactions are unimportant, the average interaction of a proton can be estimated using a rotational isomeric state model (RIS). The parameters used in the RIS model were taken from ref 39. The interaction ratio

- Table 5. Hydrodynamic Dimensions Corresponding to the Rotational Diffusion Coefficients Found for the Polymer Methylene Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>temp (°C)</th>
<th>( \eta(H_2O) ) (cP)</th>
<th>radius (nm)</th>
<th>semilong axis (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dimensions result from best fits with \( D/D_{L} = 20 \). Using \( D/D_{L} = 40 \), dimensions are increased by 25%. * Model a (eq 7): axially symmetric rotation diffusion. * Model c (eq 9): spherical rotation diffusion combined with internal mode.

- Table 6. Correlation Times from Axially Symmetric Anisotropic Rotation Diffusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>polymer solvent</th>
<th>( \tau_0 ) (ps)</th>
<th>( \tau_1 ) (ps)</th>
<th>( \tau_2 ) (ps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEO-d(_4) D2O</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEO-H(_4) D2O</td>
<td>160*</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Correlation times taken from ref 21 and corrected for solvent viscosity effects using the ratio \( \eta(H_2O)/\eta(D_2O) = 1/1.25 \).
between protons separated by 2 (geminal), 3 (viscinal), and 4 covalent bonds is 1.02.0:0.045. The $^1$H results in Table 6 are based on calculations using the first two contributions and are taken from ref 21. Combining the facts that the deuteron coupling constant is known and that correlation times extracted from $^1$H- and $^2$H-NMR data are in agreement, one concludes that the application of an HFS model can accurately determine the intramolecular dipolar coupling constants in a hydrogenous chain.

It has often been suggested that, in solution, PEO forms compact structures (aggregates) or retains some of the helix structure from the solid state. From the combined $^1$H- and $^2$H-NMR results we can exclude the notion that an important part of the chains forms intimate structures of any sort. For if this were the case, a mismatch between the $^1$H and $^2$H reduced spectral densities would be observed due to additional $^1$H-$^1$H dipolar couplings between, e.g., neighboring polymer strands in a helical structure.

Although, in dilute solution, the $^1$H-spectral density does not allow an isotropic interpretation, the spins within a methylene unit show experimentally indistinguishable relaxation rates. It indicates that the two $^2$H-nuclei in a methylene unit have similar spectral densities. A prerequisite for this experimental observation is that the principal axis of the diffusion tensor $D_J$ must, on average, lie in the plane perpendicular to the line connecting the $^2$H-nuclei (i.e., in the xy-plane in Figure 2). It is only with this orientation of DIF with respect to REF that molecular motion will average the two EFG tensors with equal efficiency. This implies that the Euler angle $\beta$ must take on a value of approximately 90°. In fitting the ARDM to the data, it was found that Euler angles ($\alpha, \beta$) have values in the 80–90° range. This in turn means that the principal axis of the diffusion tensor (DIF) practically coincides with the local director of the polymer chain (i.e., DIF lies along the y-axis in Figure 2). This is not an unreasonable solution, since it implies that the most rapid motions occur around an axis in the polymer where the lowest hydrodynamic friction is expected.

Observing similar relaxation rates for geminal deuterons may be considered a special case, for there is ample experimental evidence which shows that nuclei in close proximity on a polymer chain can show different relaxation rates. Two examples are as follows: (1) In a solution of poly(hydroxybutyrate) in CDCl$_3$, the $^{13}$C-longitudinal relaxation rates in neighboring CH and CH$_2$ units in the polymer backbone differ by a factor 1.7 instead of the expected factor 2. (2) The relaxation of methylene deuterons in semidilute aqueous poly(acrylic acid)–CD$_3$ solutions is described by biexponential decay (with equal fractions). The above facts are considered to be strongly supportive for the notion that anisotropic diffusion must be, at least partly, responsible for polymer chain magnetic relaxation. Both these observations can be understood within the framework of the ARDM. If, for instance, conformational transition rates around a C–C bond in the backbone are hampered by steric constraints, anisotropic diffusion would have to reorient a somewhat larger rigid unit in the backbone. Since the various $^{13}$C–$^1$H internuclear vectors in such a unit do not coincide, the corresponding interaction tensors will be differently oriented with respect to the DIF. Accordingly, this will result in different relaxation rates because the dipolar interactions cannot be averaged with equal efficiency.

V.2. Comparison of $^{13}$C- and $^1$H-NMR at $c_d < c^*$. The $^{13}$C-relaxation is dominated by intramolecular dipolar interaction with chemically attached hydrogen. Moreover, the principal axis of the interaction tensor coincides with the principal axis of the $^2$H-EFG tensor. Accordingly, the spectral density of both the EFG and the intramolecular dipolar interaction should take similar values, irrespective of the details of the motion. The ratio of the corresponding relaxation rates reduces to the ratio of the coupling constants:

$$\frac{R_{^1H}}{R_{^{13}C}} = \frac{3/8(2\pi \lambda)^2}{\bar{\alpha}^2 \gamma^2 \gamma_{^{13}C}^2 / \gamma_{^1H}^2},$$

Carbon-13 relaxation rates from PEO oligomers ($N = 4, 6, 22, 360$) solvated in D$_2$O were previously reported by this group. These relaxation rates will be compared with $^1$H-relaxation rates determined in H$_2$O. It is therefore necessary to correct for solvent viscosity effects on the relaxation. Taking $\varepsilon^2/\eta h = 170$ kHz and $\gamma_{CH} = 1.09$ Å, one obtains

$$\frac{R_{^1H}}{R_{^{13}C}} = 19.9 \frac{n(H_2O)}{A} \frac{1}{n(D_2O)}.$$

To compare the main-chain dynamics, $^1$H-NMR relaxation data from chains with $N > 100$ ($R_1 = 15.66$ s$^{-1}$) and $^{13}$C-relaxation data originating from a sample with $N = 360$ ($R_1 = 1.8$ s$^{-1}$) were used. The experimental ratio $R_{^1H}/R_{^{13}C} = 8.7$ compares well with the predicted value 8.08 (eq 19 using $A = 2$).

The relaxation of deuterons on fraction d1 ($N = 60$) was nonexponential. Careful analysis of the long-time behavior of the longitudinal relaxation showed that part of the deuterons relax with a rate 6 s$^{-1}$. The relative contribution of this slowly relaxing component was small. The maximum contribution of this fast dynamical process to the relaxation is estimated to be 10%. It is likely that rapid end-group motions are responsible for the nonexponential behavior. The end-group effects in the deuteron relaxation can be estimated using $^{13}$C-relaxation rates from end-group carbon atoms in PEO oligomers ($N = 4, 9, 22$). Since the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ end-group carbons are chemically shifted from the other C-atoms, their $R_{^1H}$ values could be determined independently. Their average relaxation rate value is 0.63 s$^{-1}$. Using eq 19 one would expect a relaxation rate of 5.6 s$^{-1}$ for the end-group deuterons. This compares well with the experimentally observed value of the slowly relaxing component in the deuteron relaxation (6 s$^{-1}$). The relative amplitude of this exponent suggests that no more than 6 out of 60 monomers (3 each side) in the deuterated polymer show different dynamic behavior. This implies that deuterons situated as close as 4–5 monomers from the chain end have already acquired main-chain dynamical properties.

V.3. Polymer Dynamics at $c_p > c^*$. In Figure 6 it is observed that all relaxation rates ($R_{1a}, R_{2a}, R_{1b},$ and $R_{2b}$) increase in the region $c_p > 2–3$ monomolar. The reason for this effect will be discussed in what follows. The difference in rates of increase observed on polymers with varying length and isotopic composition will however be discussed in the next section. An increase in the relaxation rate reflects a decrease in mobility. For deuterons on a polymer, this is only expected to occur when dynamical units start to obstruct each other in their reorientational freedom. Mutual obstruction will start at a concentration where the volumes swept out by the hydrodynamic length $l_{dyn}$ are just interpenetrating ($c_p = c_{lon}$). Using the value

$$l_{dyn} = \frac{1}{60} \pi n \left[ \frac{1}{2} \Gamma (\frac{1}{2}) \right]^2 / \frac{1}{2} \Gamma (\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma (\frac{1}{2}) \right],$$

with $\Gamma$ being the gamma function.
found for \( l_{\text{dyn}} \) in section IV.2 (1 nm), \( c_{\text{eq}} \) is calculated to be 1.8 monomolal. This value is similar to the concentration at which the relaxation rates show an increase in rate. Since \( c_{\text{eq}} \) scales with \( l_{\text{dyn}} \), the latter concentration is very sensitive to the dynamic length.

Plotting \( c_{\text{eq}} \) in Figure 1, it is clear that \( c_{\text{eq}} \) and \( c^{**} \) are similar. This is by no means coincidental. It is recalled that at \( c^{**} \) all excluded-volume effects are to be screened. Excluded-volume effects exist only between segments separated by at least a persistence length \( l_p \) (\( l_p \) and \( l_k \) are of the same order). At \( c^{**} \) the polymer system has to be condensed to such a degree that the condition \( \xi \leq l_h \) is met. Here \( \xi \) is the correlation length of the network. In the present system one therefore has \( \xi \leq 1 \text{ nm} \) at \( c^{**} \). At this concentration the reorientation of a hydrodynamic unit with length \( l_{\text{dyn}} \) must be obstructed by other segments.

The notion that the increase in relaxation rates is coupled to \( c^{**} \) is in contrast to a previous report.\(^{49}\) It was suggested that the concentration where the NMR line width (\( R_{2h} \)) observed from PEO (\( M_r = 3.7 \times 10^6 \)) started to increase should be coupled to the overlap concentration \( c^* \). The increase in line width was observed at approximately 0.5 monomolal (22 g/dm\(^3\)). In our opinion this concentration cannot correspond to \( c^* \) (see Figure 1). Furthermore, the proton relaxation rates for hydrogenous polymer fractions presented in Figure 6 show that the point at which \( R_{2h} \) increases is independent of \( M_w \). On the basis of ref 49, the contrary is expected. In section IV.3 it was shown that the increase in \( R_{2h} \) is partly due to additional (slowly modulated) intermolecular dipolar coupling. For fundamental reasons one would therefore expect the line width to be insensitive to \( c^* \), especially for longer chains. For \( c^* \) only monomers in the outer regions of a polymer globule can participate in intermolecular interactions. The fraction of monomers in a position to yield \( R_{2h} \) values which are larger than average can therefore be estimated from the surface/volume ratio of the polymer globule. Accordingly, this fraction will vary with approximately \( M_w^{-0.5} \), rendering proton relaxation insensitive to \( c^* \) at large \( M_w \).

In the \(^2\)H-spectral densities at \( c_p > c^{**} \), three correlation times can be identified (i.e., \( \tau_m, \tau_m, \) and \( \tau_0 \)). In the case of diffusion, these correlation times refer to three interdependent correlation functions. With diffusion models, the relative contribution of these correlation functions is determined by the orientation of the diffusion frame with respect to the principal axis frame(s) of the EFG. Since diffusion models (ARDM and diffusion-in-a-cone model\(^{14}\)) cannot describe the spectral densities at \( c_p > c^{**} \), it must be concluded that, besides rotational diffusion, there is at least one other dynamic process driving the relaxation above \( c^{**} \).

As was remarked previously,\(^{11}\) highly condensed polymer solutions, including swollen cross-linked systems, show a high degree of internal mobility. Typically \( >95\% \) of the interaction constant is averaged by motions with characteristic time scales \( \tau_c < 10^{-9} \text{ s} \). The significant base line under the dispersions in Figure 5 suggests that this is also the case in the present system. The base line is described by the parameters \( \chi_{\text{F}} \) and \( \tau_0 \). The relative importance of fast processes is quantified by the ratio \( \chi_{\text{F}}^2/\chi_2^2 \) (Table 4). These values show that, even at 42 molal, 98% of the quadrupole interaction is averaged out in the subnanosecond time domain. Interestingly, the fast motions are very sensitive to \( c_p, \tau_0 \) increases by an order of magnitude on concentrating PEO from 1 to 42 molal.

In principle, librational motions could contribute to the relaxation.\(^6\) A conformational jump model expanded with a librational mode has been used to describe the \(^{13}\)C-spectral density (in the spectral region \( \omega_0 > 10^3 \)) in semidilute and concentrated polymer solutions.\(^{50,51}\) In order to proceed unhindered, librational motions are less demanding for space compared with motions which reorient complete segments. One would therefore expect librational motions to be rather insensitive to the onset of entanglements. This is however not what is observed in Figure 5 and Table 4.

The height of the \(^2\)H-spectral density Lorentzian(s) related to the slow motions is calculated according to:

\[
J(0)_s = J(0)_{\text{exp}} - \{J(0)_{\text{eq}} + J(1)\}
\]

In Table 4 it is seen that this height increases by more than an order of magnitude in the concentration range studied. Since \( J(0)_s \) is \( M_w \)-independent, it is unlikely that C-D bond reorientations caused by translational motions over long distances induce relaxation. However, translational diffusion over distances small enough to be independent of the chain length may be important. This has previously been suggested by Callaghan\(^{52}\) in discussing \(^1\)H-relaxation observed from polyethylene and PEO melts. The dispersions show a \( M_w \)-independent correlation time: \( \tau_c \approx (1-2) \times 10^{-10} \text{ s} \). The correlation time is suggested to be caused by semilocal reptational motion on a small distance scale. This motion would not displace the center of mass of the chain. Other experimental evidence which confirms that polymer movements over small distances are indeed \( M_w \)-independent comes from incoherent neutron scattering experiments\(^{53}\) on a 50 monomolal PEO-\(n_4\) solution in \( D_2O \) at 60 °C. Although the resolution was low, \( D_{\text{eff}} \) was shown to be \( M_w \)-independent in the 0.46 Å\(^{-1}\) \( \leq Q \leq 2.19 \) Å\(^{-1}\) momentum transfer range. This corresponds to a distance scale of order 2-10 Å. The value of \( \tau_c \) found by Callaghan is within the experimental limits we found for the slow process \( \tau_c \) in section IV.4. If the dispersions in \(^1\)H- and \(^2\)H-spectral densities reflect the same dynamical process, it implies the \( M_w \)-independent correlation time observed in the latter \( J(0)_s \) curve is due to an intramolecular interaction.

In Table 4, \( \chi_m \) and \( \tau_m \) are increased as a function of concentration by factors of 2 and 3, respectively. Accordingly, the corresponding dispersion must be categorized as insensitive to changes in polymer volume fraction. Below \( c^* \), the dispersion is identified with the reorientation of a dynamic unit. At \( c_p > c^{**} \) it may tentatively be identified with the hindered (partial) reorientation of such a unit.

V.4. Comparing \(^1\)H- and \(^2\)H-NMR at \( c_p > c^{**} \). In Figure 6 the relative increases of relaxation rates from deuterated and protonated polymers are plotted together for a number of fractions. Rates have been divided by the corresponding values at 0.1 molal (\( R_{2h}^p \) and \( R_{2h}^d \)). The relative longitudinal relaxation rates \( R_{2h}/R_{2h}^d \) and \( R_{1d}/R_{1d}^d \) are independent of isotopic composition and show a similar \( c_p \) dependence in all concentration regimes. The relative transverse relaxation rates are different in that the dependence of \( R_{2h}/R_{2h}^d \) on \( c_p \) is more pronounced than that of \( R_{2h}/R_{2h}^d \) in the concentrated regime. Furthermore, the transverse relaxation rates from protonated polymers become molecular weight dependent. Liu and Ullman\(^{50}\) qualitatively rationalized this \( M_w \) dependence by envisaging an entangled system in which the average local monomeric motion was strongly influenced by the chain-end effects. In view of our \(^2\)H-NMR data, covering a wide \( M_w \) range, end-group dynamics prove to be unimportant. The molecular weight dependence observed in \(^1\)H-NMR at \( c_p > c^{**} \) must be due to both the nature of the \(^1\)H-
The effect of intermolecular dipolar interactions on the $^1$H-relaxation is demonstrated in Figure 7. This figure shows the $^1$H-relaxation rates as a function of the isotopic composition of the matrix, $x_d$. On substituting protonated with deuterated polymers, $(R_{2h}d)$ decreases. From this observation one can draw the conclusion that intermolecular dipolar interactions contribute significantly to proton relaxation at high volume fractions. Furthermore, because no effect is observed on $(R_{1h}d)$, one can conclude that these intermolecular interactions are modulated primarily at a time scale $\tau \simeq 10^{-8}$ s.

The low-frequency part of the $^1$H-spectral densities of polymer melts has been extensively probed using field-cycling$^{54}$ and spin-lock methods.$^{59,60}$ A slow dynamic mode which is proportional to $M_n$ has been singled out in this spectral region. The corresponding correlation time is thought to reflect the tube disengagement time (reorientation of the end-to-end vector of a constraint polymer). Our results unambiguously show that intermolecular dipole–dipole interactions are important. It may be assumed that the general properties regarding polymer dynamics in a polymer melt and in concentrated solutions are comparable since both systems are entangled.

In the Introduction it was noted that both diffusive and nondiffusive models are used to describe polymer motions. A fundamental difference between the two categories is that models in the former category recognize the tensor properties of both the nuclear interaction and diffusion process. As a result, dynamic processes observed in the spectral density are interdependent. The relative orientation of the above-mentioned tensors dictates the relationship between these processes (eq 5). In nondiffusional models, dynamic modes playing a role in averaging the nuclear interaction usually enter into the spectral density formula as independent isotropic entities. Hence, the nuclear interaction is treated as a constant, rendering these models insensitive to variations in the orientation of interaction tensors with respect to the molecular framework. Since our understanding of internal polymer motions is still rather rudimentary, it is important to shed light on this fundamental difference before one gets involved in more detailed descriptions. At this point it would therefore be interesting to compare the shape of the spectral densities obtained from two different nuclei fixed to an anisotropically reorienting body. It is essential that both their nuclear interactions are intramolecular and that their respective interaction frames have different orientations with respect to the diffusion tensor. In the hydrogenous polymer (PEO-$h_4$), the intramolecular dipolar principal axes lie along the geminal and vicinal $^1$H–$^1$H intermolecular vectors. In PEO-$d_4$, the principal axis of the D quadrupolar interaction tensor lies along the C–D axis and, hence, does not coincide with the former (dipolar) interaction tensors. In order to obtain proton relaxation rates which are solely driven by intramolecular interactions, one could exploit the isotopic mixtures. From the fact that $(R_{2h}d)$ in Figure 7 decreases linearly with $x_d$, one can conclude that the isotopically dissimilar polymers are homogeneously dispersed. Extrapolating to $x_d \rightarrow 1$ in Figure 7 will therefore yield the $R_{2h}$ value in a 10.5 molal solution devoid of intermolecular dipolar interactions.

In the relaxation formulae for spins of type $^1$H and $^2$H, the value of $J(\omega)$ at frequencies 0, $\omega_0$, and $2\omega_0$ is weighted in an identical manner (see eqs 2 and 3). Accordingly, differences in shapes between the reduced $^1$H- and $^2$H-spectral densities may be detected by comparing the ratios $(R_{2h}/R_{1h})_d$ at $x_d \rightarrow 1$ and $(R_{2h}/R_{1d})$. These ratios represent the ratio of two different spectral density combinations (for $R_1$ and $R_2$, respectively) and coupling constants are eliminated. These ratios are identical in a limited number of cases only:

1. Motions are isotropic; i.e., tensor properties of the nuclear interaction are irrelevant.

2. Certain backbone motions may average differently oriented interaction tensors with equal efficiency. If, on the other hand, the ratio

$$\frac{(R_{2h}/R_{1h})_d at x_d \rightarrow 1}{R_{2d}/R_{1d}}$$


significantly deviates from unity, the anisotropic diffusive nature of polymer motions can be established unambiguously. In the presence of anisotropic motion, values larger and smaller than unity may be expected. From eqs 14 and 15 and Figure 7, the above ratio is found to be $1.15/1.06 = 1.08 \pm 0.1$. It is therefore concluded that the reduced $^1$H- and $^2$H-spectral densities are approximately similar. In view of the experimental accuracy, no firm assessment can be made as to whether ratio eq 21 deviates from unity. Accordingly, no definitive stance is taken regarding the diffusive vs nondiffusive nature of the polymer motions in the concentrated regime. More conclusive results may however be expected from PEO melts. Such systems have a number of advantages over the presently used (10.5 molal) solutions: (1) The anisotropy is amplified, (2) the $(R_{2h}d)$ dependence on $x_d$ is increased (hence reducing extrapolation errors in $(R_{2h}d)_d$), and (3) segregation problems arising from the fact that concentrated PEO solutions have a lower critical solution temperature (LCST) are circumvented. Above the LCST, mixtures of hydrogenous and deuterated polymers are still prone to segregation due to the existence of a small interaction parameter between the isotopically dissimilar monomers. The Flory–Huggins parameter is on the order $10^{-3}$. In practice, considerable interpenetration is still possible as long as high values for $N$ and/or $c_p$ are avoided.$^{56,57}$ It has been shown that, at high volume fractions, phase separation in mixtures of hydrogenous and perdeuterated polymers can start with the formation of domains.$^{58}$ We suggest that a novel method to monitor their (initial) growth may be to monitor the $^1$H transverse relaxation. Since the polymers are initially dispersed, the formation of domains containing highly condensed hydrogenous material will initially result in a large increase in the number of intermolecular $^1$H–$^1$H interactions. Accordingly, a substantial effect on the transverse relaxation rates may be expected.

V.5. Temperature Dependence of Rotational Motions. In Figure 8, the $R_{1d}$ values obtained from 0.5 and 10 molal solutions (d4) in pure water have been plotted as a function of $1/T$. Both the concentrated and dilute solutions appear to adhere to Arrhenius' law in the temperature range studied. The slope in the concentrated solution is much larger than that in the dilute solution.
fractions 0.06 and higher (below the dashed line), much larger activation energies are found. In this region $E_{\text{seg}}$ is larger by nearly an order of magnitude. The data in Table 7 are obtained from different polymer–good solvent systems. It is suggested here that, in discussing $E_{\text{seg}}$ for polymer solutions, one may abstract from the precise chemical structure of chain and solvent and focus on the volume which is available for segmental reorientation. The increase in activation energy can be qualitatively understood by comparing the two length scales which characterize a polymer solution at high concentrations; the dynamic length $l_{\text{dyn}}$ and the correlation length of the network $\xi$. At the present level of discussion we assume that the dynamic length of the different polymers in Table 7 are comparable since all polymers are single-bonded flexible chains. Admittedly, rotational potentials in the various backbones are different. However, the absence of rigid structures (e.g., multiple bonds, benzene groups) or electrostatic repulsive forces in the backbone ensures that the persistence lengths of the various chains are similar. In the region where $l_{\text{dyn}} < \xi$, segment tumbling is possible. Segments behave as if they were reorienting in a sphere with diameter $l_{\text{dyn}}$. Once $l_{\text{dyn}} > \xi$, unhindered reorientation is no longer possible and one expects the nature of dynamics to change fundamentally; in section IV.4 it was shown that anisotropic rotational diffusion can no longer describe the segment reorientation.

VI. Conclusions

The shape of the $^2$H-spectral density from dilute and semidilute PEO solutions can be explained by anisotropic reorientation of the C–D bond. One can envisage this process as isotropic reorientation combined with a rapid internal mode. The diffusion coefficients are coupled to a hydrodynamic particle. The diameter of this particle, $l_{\text{dyn}}$, is similar to the length of a Kuhn segment. This result supports the conclusions reached before by analysis of the $^1$H-spectral density from hydrogenous PEO.21 Both the $^1$H- and $^2$H-spectral densities show the polymer dynamics to be weakly anisotropic. This reflects the intrinsic flexibility of the PEO chain.

The concentration at which mutual obstruction between units of length $l_{\text{dyn}}$ is inevitable has been defined as $c_{\text{up}}$. At $c_{\text{up}}$ an increase in the relaxation rates is observed. The values of $c_{\text{up}}$ and $c^{**}$ are similar and are indeed expected to be so for fundamental reasons. In general, concentration-dependent relaxation measurements from polymer–backbone nuclei may therefore be used as an alternative method to establish $c^{**}$. Accordingly, the size of the dynamic unit in a polymer chain could be estimated from the point at which relaxation rates become concentration dependent. Since $c_{\text{up}}$ scales with $l_{\text{dyn}}$, the dynamic length $l_{\text{dyn}}$ is insensitive to errors in the determination of $c_{\text{up}}$.

The fact that the internal polymer dynamics changes fundamentally in the concentrated regime is reflected by the fact that the activation energies of the internal polymer mobility increase dramatically upon condensing these systems to $c > c^{**}$.

In the regime $c > c^{**}$, the $^1$H-relaxation becomes relatively more enhanced when compared with the $^2$H-relaxation. By isotopically diluting the hydrogenous chain in a deuterated matrix, it was shown that the responsible dynamic process is an intermolecular dipole–dipole interaction modulated on long time scales.
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![Figure 9. Temperature dependence of deuteron relaxation ($R_2$) in 0.5 (A) and 10 (G) monomodal PEO solutions in water.](image)

Table 7. Segmental Activation Energies Calculated with Eq 24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>polymer</th>
<th>ref*</th>
<th>polymer vol fraction</th>
<th>$E_{\text{seg}}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-(CD$_2$OD)$_n$-</td>
<td>this work</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-(CD$_3$O)$_n$-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-(CH$_2$OHCH$_2$COO)$_n$-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-(CD$_2$CH(OCH$_2$)$_n$-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-(CD$_3$OD)$_n$-</td>
<td>this work</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Ref 7: $^1$C-NMR, 1% (w/v) POM in phenol-$d_6$. Ref 6: 6% and 12% (w/v) PHB in chloroform-$. Activation energy calculated from relaxation data in Table 1. Ref 50: $^1$H-NMR, 15% (w/v) PVME in chloroform-$. The concentration at which mutual obstruction between units of length $l_{\text{dyn}}$ is inevitable has been defined as $c_{\text{up}}$. At $c_{\text{up}}$ an increase in the relaxation rates is observed. The values of $c_{\text{up}}$ and $c^{**}$ are similar and are indeed expected to be so for fundamental reasons. In general, concentration-dependent relaxation measurements from polymer–backbone nuclei may therefore be used as an alternative method to establish $c^{**}$. Accordingly, the size of the dynamic unit in a polymer chain could be estimated from the point at which relaxation rates become concentration dependent. Since $c_{\text{up}}$ scales with $l_{\text{dyn}}$, the dynamic length $l_{\text{dyn}}$ is insensitive to errors in the determination of $c_{\text{up}}$.
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These slopes lead to apparent activation energies of 18.7 (0.5 molal) and 27.8 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (10 molal). Evaluation of $R_2$ data gives similar results.

The fact that the viscosity-corrected reduced spectral densities of PEO-$d_4$/H$_2$O and PEO-$h_4$/D$_2$O are very similar (in dilute systems), suggests that the bulk of the motions are controlled by viscous forces. The activation energies shall therefore be interpreted with the aid of Kramers' theory.65 This theory has been developed for the diffusion of a particle over a potential barrier. For a dynamic process crossing an energy barrier $E^*$ in a hydrodynamic regime one can write

$$\tau_c = \frac{\eta C}{C_{\text{seg}}} \left(\frac{E_{\text{seg}}}{RT}\right)$$

(23)

Assuming that the activation energy for segmental motion is linearly increased by the activation energy of the solvent viscosity, $E_s$, the former can be estimated by:

$$E_{\text{seg}} = E_{\text{exp}} - E_s$$

with $E_s = 17.5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ for water

(24)

Application of eq 24 to the experimental activation energies yields interesting results. In Table 7 these are presented along with some values found in the literature. For comparison, all concentrations have been converted to polymer volume fractions. Entries in Table 7 below the dashed line refer to solutions with volume fractions ($\phi$) larger than 0.06, this value for $\phi$ corresponding to the lower limit estimated for $c^{**}$ in Figure 1.

Relaxation data obtained from dilute and semidilute polymer solutions yield activation energies which are practically equal to the pure solvent values. At volume
Nijmegen University) is thanked for technical assistance.
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