Nanosecond reverse saturable absorption in cubanelike transition-metal clusters
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We report the measurement of reverse saturable absorption in four cubanelike transition-metal clusters with nanosecond laser pulses. We also present a five-level rate-equation model in which ionization and germinate recombination are included. A comparison between the model and the measured data shows that the population of the triplet states is generated mainly through the ionization–recombination process, and triplet–triplet absorption is responsible for the measured reverse saturable absorption.

1. INTRODUCTION

For the past decade materials exhibiting strong nonlinear-optical properties have been studied intensively. Interest in these materials has been stimulated not only by the quest for understanding of nonlinear-optical phenomena but also by their potential technological applications. The currently rapid advances in the development of high-power frequency-agile lasers have led to a growing demand for optical limiting devices designed to protect sensitive optical sensors from intense laser radiation. One can realize such devices by exploiting materials whose absorption is weak at low incident light energy but becomes extremely strong under intense light radiation. Such nonlinear absorptive behavior is referred as reverse saturable absorption (RSA), in contrast to saturable absorption found in most materials. We report here an experimental investigation of RSA effects in cubanelike transition-metal clusters with nanosecond laser pulses. A five-level rate-equation model is also presented to describe the responsible mechanism.

The RSA process was first observed by Giuliano and Hess in dye molecules such as Sudanschwartz-B and Indantherone. Since then a number of compounds have been found to possess such a property. These compounds include phthalocyanine, metallophthalocyanine, ion tricobalt clusters, and King’s complex. Physically, RSA occurs as a consequence of the absorption cross section of an excited molecular state being greater than that of the ground state. As the optical excitation intensity increases, more molecules are promoted to the excited state, thus giving rise to higher absorption at intense light excitation. Because the RSA process involves electronic transitions, materials that exhibit RSA generally have an extremely fast response. Many examples of the RSA process involve a long-lived triplet state. This is certainly true when the samples are illuminated by light pulses of a few nanoseconds or longer. The involvement of a long-lived triplet state ensures that the optical limiting response is relatively pulse-width independent over a wide range of pulse durations. Furthermore, many of these molecules have a broad linear absorption, resulting in a broadband limiting response. These advantages make RSA extremely attractive for use in broadband optical limiting of laser pulses.

In the past few years, fullerenes (C₆₀) have received a great deal of attention because this molecule also possesses strong RSA, which results in a low limiting threshold. The RSA process in C₆₀ can be described by a five-level (three singlet states and two triplet states) model. In particular, the triplet–triplet transition has been identified as the main mechanism responsible for nanosecond optical limiting. However, McLean et al. found that the five-level model in which the triplet states are populated through intersystem crossing can explain the limiting effect only to a fluence range of up to 1.5 J/cm². On the other hand, Abakumov et al. reported that, under laser radiation, the population in the triplet states of organic molecules can be accumulated through another channel, that is, through a process of ionization and subsequently germinate recombination. In this process, incident light excites molecules from the ground state to the first excited singlet state, S₁. The excited molecules at the S₁ state are then ionized by absorbing photons; and the ions rapidly recombine to reform the molecules, some of which return to the S₁ state while the others end up at the first excited triplet state.

Recently we reported the observation of nanosecond optical limiting effects in four cubanelike transition-metal clusters. The molecular structures of these clusters, as shown in Fig. 1, are similar to a cubic cage with eight vertices alternately occupied by metal and nonmetal atoms and twelve edges representing metal–nonmetal bonds. The optical limiting performance of these new compounds has been experimentally shown to be better than that for C₆₀.
paper we present a study of RSA in these compounds by measuring the fluence-dependent transmittance with nanosecond laser pulses over a spectral range of visible light. Narrowing in the temporal profiles of the transmitted pulses is also reported. We propose a RSA model, which includes ionization and subsequently germinate recombination, to explain the observed RSA. By comparing the model simulation with the experimental data, we show that absorption transitions between the triplet states play an important role in the observed RSA and that the population of the triplet states is created mainly by the process of ionization and recombination.

To our knowledge, this is the first report of such a process included in the interpretation of optical limiting behavior.

2. EXPERIMENT

A. Sample Preparation
The four compounds used for nonlinear transmission measurements were prepared according to published procedures. Compound I ([(n-Bu₄N)₃[W₃Cu₃Br₄S₄]]) was synthesized by a solid-state reaction. A well-ground mixture of ammonium tetrathiotungstate [(NH₄)₂WS₄ (1.0 mmol)], copper(t) bromide [CuBr (4.0 mmol)], and tetrabutylammonium bromide [(n-Bu)₄NBr (3.0 mmol)] was sealed in a reaction tube under argon. We obtained a dark solid by heating the mixture to 100°C for 15 h. The black, solid raw product of the reaction was extracted by 60 mL of dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂). Compound I was crystallized out from the CH₂Cl₂ extract after more than 80% of the solvent was slowly evaporated. Compound II ([(n-Bu₄N)₃[W₃Ag₃Br₄S₄]]) was synthesized according to the same procedure, except that copper(t) bromide was replaced by silver(t) bromide. Compound III ([(n-Bu₄N)₃[Mo₃Ag₃Br₃S₄]]) and compound IV ([(n-Bu₄N)₃[Mo₃Ag₃BrCl₃S₄]]) were obtained by similar procedures, except that (NH₄)₂WS₄ (1.0 mmol) was replaced by (NH₄)₂MoS₄ (1.0 mmol) and CuBr and AgBr were replaced by AgI, and AgCl, respectively. The crystal structures of the compounds were determined by single-crystal x-ray diffraction data, and the absorption spectra were examined with a spectrophotometer (Hitachi U-3410). The results are in excellent agreement with the previously published data.

B. Optical Measurements
The compounds were dissolved in either acetonitrile (CH₃CN) or acetone (CH₃COCH₃) and contained in a 1-mm-thick quartz cuvette. Their optical responses were measured with linearly polarized optical pulses from a Q-switched frequency-doubled Nd:YAG laser or from a dye laser pumped by the Nd:YAG laser. The experimental setup used for nonlinear transmission measurements was similar to that described in Ref. 19. The spatial profiles of the optical pulses were nearly Gaussian after passing through a spatial filter. The laser pulses were focused onto the sample by a focusing mirror of 25-cm focal length. The spot radius of the laser pulses at the cuvette was 35 ± 5 µm (half-width of 1/e² maximum in irradiance). Both incident and transmitted laser pulses were monitored simultaneously by a calibrated beam splitter and two energy detectors (Laser Precision, RJP-735 energy probes). The detectors were linked to a computer by an IEEE interface. To avoid nonlinear-optical effects such as self-focusing, self-defocusing, and nonlinear scattering, we placed the transmission detector just after the exit plane of the sample so that all the transmitted light was collected. We carefully checked for other possible contributing sources of these kinds of effects, such as induced scattering caused by the formation of bubbles at high incident fluences, and found none under our experimental conditions (incident fluences < 5 J/cm²).

The temporal profiles of the incident and the transmitted pulses were recorded simultaneously. We did this by replacing the two energy detectors by two fast-response photodetectors (Electro-Optics Technology ET2010, response time < 1 ns). The signals of the photodetectors were displayed on a Tektronix 7104 oscilloscope and digitized by a CCD camera system.

3. RESULTS

Figure 2 displays the fluence-dependent transmittance of the four compounds for a wavelength of 532 nm. It can be seen immediately that the transmittance decreases as the incident fluence increases. Similar behavior has been observed at wavelengths up to 630 nm for compounds III and IV. We define the limiting threshold as the incident fluence at which the transmittance falls to 50% of the linear transmittance. Table 1 shows the measured limiting thresholds, indicating that compounds III and IV can be used as broadband optical limiters. The RSA responses of compounds I and II become weaker at wavelengths longer than 560 nm. This is consistent with the linear absorption spectra of these compounds, which show extremely small linear absorbance (<400 M⁻¹) in the range from 560 to 1000 nm. It has also been found that the temporal shapes of the transmitted pulses become narrower as the input fluence increases. All four of the compounds exhibit this phenomenon, a typical example of which is shown in Fig. 3. The narrowing of the transmitted pulse clearly indicates that the observed nonlinear absorption is an accumulat-
Fig. 2. Fluence-dependent transmittance of the compounds. The open squares are the data measured with 7-ns laser pulses of 532-nm wavelength. The curves are calculated by use of the five-level model described in the text.

Table 1. Experimentally Measured Limiting Thresholds of \((n\text{-Bu}_4\text{N})_3\left[[\text{MoAg}_3\text{Br}_3\text{I}_3\text{S}_4]\right]\) (Compound III) and \((n\text{-Bu}_4\text{N})_3\left[[\text{MoAg}_3\text{Br}_3\text{Cl}_3\text{S}_4]\right]\) (Compound IV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(\lambda) (nm)</th>
<th>(F_{\text{th}}) (J/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>562</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>570</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>629</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>562</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>570</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>629</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Temporal profile of the incident and transmitted pulses for compound III. The wavelength and the incident fluence are 532 nm and 1.6 J/cm², respectively. Both the incident and the transmitted pulses are normalized to their peaks.

Fig. 4. Five-level model that describes reverse saturable absorption in the compounds. The wiggly-and-straight lines indicate the nonradiative decay, intersystem crossing, and germinate recombination processes. The straight lines indicate the absorption transition and ionization processes.

4. THEORY

To obtain more insight into the observed effects we developed a five-level rate-equation model. The molecular structures of the transition-metal clusters assume cubanelike structures and \(T_d\) symmetry. It is interesting to note that King's complex also possesses \(T_d\) symmetry. The molecular energy levels of King's complex were determined to belong to a set of singlet states and a set of triplet states. Therefore it is reasonable for us to assume that the energy levels of the transition-metal clusters also consist of singlet states and triplet states. As we show in Section 5, it is necessary to include one ionized state in our model. Figure 4 illustrates all the energy levels involved in the RSA process of the transition-metal clusters.

The photodynamics of the system is described as follows. Initial absorption of the laser light promotes molecules from the ground state, \(S_0\), to one of the manifold of vibrational–rotational states in the first excited singlet state, \(S_1\), which relax rapidly to the lowest level of this electronic state. Then some of the excited molecules are transferred to the first excited triplet state, \(T_1\), by either intersystem crossing or a process of ionization and subsequently germinate recombination. In the latter process the molecules at state \(S_1\) are ionized by light absorption to the ionized state; then the ionized molecules quickly recombine with electrons within the solvent cage and return partially to the \(T_1\) state. Further absorption excites the molecules at state \(T_1\) to a higher triplet state. If the absorption cross section of the \(T_1\) state is greater than that of the ground state, the absorption becomes stronger as the incident fluence increases.

The time derivatives of populations of states \(S_0\), \(S_1\), and
\[ T_1 \text{ and the density of the ionized molecules } (n_0, n_1, n_2, \text{ and } n_3, \text{ respectively}) \text{ are given by:} \]

\[ \frac{\partial n_0}{\partial t} = \frac{n_1}{\tau_1} - \frac{\sigma_0 n_0}{h\nu} I, \]  
\[ \frac{\partial n_1}{\partial t} = \frac{\sigma_0 n_0}{h\nu} I + \alpha_S k n_3^2 - \frac{n_1}{\tau_1} - \frac{n_1}{\tau_{isc}} - \frac{\sigma_1 n_1}{h\nu} I, \]  
\[ \frac{\partial n_2}{\partial t} = \frac{n_2}{\tau_{isc}} + \alpha_T k n_3^2, \]  
\[ \frac{\partial n_3}{\partial t} = \frac{\sigma_1 n_1}{h\nu} I - (\alpha_S + \alpha_T) k n_3^2, \]

where \( \sigma_0 \) is the absorption cross section of the \( S_0 \) state; \( \sigma_1 \) is the cross section for the ionization; \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_{isc} \) are the relaxation time of the \( S_1 \) state and the intersystem crossing time, respectively; \( k \) is the coefficient of cation–electron recombination; \( \alpha_S \) and \( \alpha_T \) are the probabilities of reforming the molecules in the \( S_1 \) and \( T_1 \) states, respectively; \( I \) is the irradiance of the light pulse; \( h \) is the Planck constant; and \( \nu \) is the frequency of light.

The propagation of the light pulse is determined by the wave equation. Taking a slowly varying envelope approximation we may write it as

\[ \frac{\partial I}{\partial z} = -(n_0 \sigma_0 + n_1 \sigma_1 + n_2 \sigma_2) I, \]  

where \( z \) is the propagation distance in the sample and \( \sigma_2 \) is the absorption cross section of the \( T_1 \) state.

In Eqs. (1)–(5), stimulated emissions from the excited states are neglected. The probability of re-forming the molecules in the ground state by germinate recombination is so small that it is also ignored. It is assumed that the relaxation time \( (\tau_2) \) from the higher excited triplet state, \( T_n \), is fast enough that the \( T_1 \) state is not depopulated by light absorption. This assumption results in the disappearance of the absorption and relaxation terms between the \( T_1 \) and \( T_n \) states in Eq. (3). The relaxation time \( (\tau_2) \) of the \( T_1 \) state to the ground state has been measured to be of the order of a few milliseconds. It is too long in comparison with the duration of the laser pulse; hence it is excluded from Eqs. (1) and (3). The solution of Eqs. (1)–(5) was obtained numerically by use of Gaussian-shaped temporal and spatial profiles of the incident laser pulse. The numerical solution was then integrated over time and space to produce an energy transmittance as a function of the incident fluence that could be compared with the experimental data. The calculated results for the four cluster compounds at 532 nm are displayed in Fig. 2.

5. DISCUSSION

The values of the ground-state cross section \( \sigma_0 \) at 532 nm used in the calculation were obtained from the experiments and are listed in Table 2. It was reported that the ratio of \( \sigma_S \) and \( \sigma_T \) is \( \sim 3:1 \) and that the germinate recombination coefficient is of the order of \( 10^{12} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \). We adopt these values for our transition-metal clusters. Our numerical calculations show that varying these two quantities in the ranges from 1:9 to 9:1 and from 5 \( \times \) 10\(^{11} \) to 5 \( \times \) 10\(^{13} \) M\(^{-1} \) s\(^{-1} \), respectively, has little effect on the following conclusions. No measurements of other photophysical parameters \( (\sigma_2, \sigma_1, \tau_1, \text{ and } \tau_{isc}) \) have been reported to our knowledge. They were treated as adjustable parameters in our numerical calculations. The results are shown by the solid curves in Fig. 2. It is obvious that the model can predict the limiting behavior. Note that we achieved the good agreement by using a set of the adjustable parameters, which has led to an uncertainty in the quantitative description of the limiting mechanism. However, the model simulation does give the following two qualitative conclusions:

(a) Inclusion of excited-triplet-state absorption is essential. We confirmed this by performing the calculations with a fixed value for \( \sigma_2 = 0 \) and adjusting the other parameters \( (\sigma_1, \tau_{isc}, \text{ and } \tau_1) \) systematically from very small values to very large values. Examples of such calculations are represented by the dotted curves in Fig. 2, which fit the experimental data well in the low-energy range \( (<0.2 \text{ J/cm}^2) \). But the significant discrepancy at higher energies implies that excited-state absorption, excluding triplet–triplet transitions, cannot explain the observed limiting effect. This is consistent with the observation in C\(_{60}\) under excitation of nanosecond laser pulses.

(b) As we stated above, the model requires an ionization–recombination channel to populate the \( T_1 \) state efficiently. We have found that the model completely fails if this transfer channel is switched off. We obtained this conclusion by conducting similar calculations, except that \( \alpha_T \) was set to be zero.

The best fits between the model and the experimental data as shown in Fig. 2 generate a set of values for the parameters \( \sigma_2, \sigma_1, \tau_1, \text{ and } \tau_{isc} \). With these values we calculated the population of the \( T_1 \) state as a function of time. Figure 5 illustrates such an example, showing the calculated generation rate of the \( T_1 \) state population created by the intersystem crossing (the dashed curve) and that generated by the ionization–recombination process (the solid curve). It is clear that the population

**Table 2. Experimental Values of Ground-State Cross Section \( \sigma_0 \) and Limiting Threshold \( F_{th} \) and Theoretical Values of the Product of \( \sigma_T, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \text{ and } \tau_1 \)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>( \sigma_0 ) (cm(^2))(^a)</th>
<th>( F_{th} ) (J cm(^{-2}))(^b)</th>
<th>( \alpha_T \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \tau_1 ) (cm(^4) ns(^b))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>(n-Bu(_4))[WCuBr(_3)]S(_4)</td>
<td>4.4 ( \times ) 10(^{-19})</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0 ( \times ) 10(^{-35})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>(n-Bu(_4))[WAg(_3)Br(_4)]S(_4)</td>
<td>1.4 ( \times ) 10(^{-18})</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8.5 ( \times ) 10(^{-35})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>(n-Bu(_4))[MoAg(_3)Br(_3)]S(_4)</td>
<td>1.8 ( \times ) 10(^{-17})</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.6 ( \times ) 10(^{-35})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>(n-Bu(_4))[MoAg(_3)BrCl(_3)]S(_4)</td>
<td>4.6 ( \times ) 10(^{-18})</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ( \times ) 10(^{-34})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( a\) These values were obtained from our experiment at a wavelength of 532 nm.

\( b\) These values were determined by the best fits between our theory and experimental data.
more importantly, the optical limiting performance of our compounds was observed in acetonitrile, whereas the nonlinear transmission measurements of both C\textsubscript{60} and phthalocyanine derivatives were conducted in toluene\textsuperscript{5,7,13,14}. Acetonitrile is a much more polar solvent than toluene and is capable of facilitating an ionization process.

6. CONCLUSION

We have measured reverse saturable absorption in four cubanelike transition-metal clusters with nanosecond laser pulses. The measured results show that the compounds (n-Bu\textsubscript{4}N\textsubscript{3}[MoAg\textsubscript{3}Br\textsubscript{3}I\textsubscript{3}S\textsubscript{4}] and (n-Bu\textsubscript{4}N\textsubscript{3}[MoAg\textsubscript{3}BrCl\textsubscript{3}S\textsubscript{4}] may be used as broadband optical limiters. We have also developed a five-level (two singlet states, two triplet states, and one ionized state) model that includes ionization and germinate recombination. A comparison of the model simulation with the experimental data shows that the triplet states are populated mainly through the ionization and germinate recombination in the clusters. It also shows that the triplet–triplet transitions are responsible for reverse saturable absorption.
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