

- II Matrix multiplication is associative; the identity matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the neutral element, clearly an element of  $G$ ; if  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are in  $G$ , then also  $M_1 M_2$  because

$$(M_1 M_2)^+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (M_1 M_2)$$

$$= M_2^+ \underbrace{M_1^+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} M_1}_{=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} M_2 = M_2^+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

finally, we verify that  $M^{-1} \in G$ :

$$\begin{aligned} M^{-1+} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} M^{-1} &= M^{-1+} M^+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} M M^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ indeed.} \end{aligned}$$

If  $\det\{M\} = 1$ , then  $\det\{M^+\} = 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \det\{M^+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} M\} &= \det\{M^+\} \det\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\} \det\{M\} \\ &= -1 = \det\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\det\{M\} = 1$  for  $M = M_1, M_2$  or

$\det\{M_1\} = \det\{M_2\} = 1$ . It follows that  $G_+$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

$$G \ni \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin G_+,$$

as one sees quickly.

$$\boxed{2} \quad M(a, b, c, d) = M(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1) M(a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2)$$

$$\text{for } a = a_1 a_2 - b_1 b_2, \quad$$

$$b = b_1 d_2 + a_1 b_2, \quad$$

$$c = c_1 a_2 + d_1 c_2, \quad$$

$$d = d_1 d_2 - c_1 b_2. \quad$$

(a) When  $b_1 = c_1$ ,  $a_1 = d_1$ ,  $b_2 = c_2$ ,  $a_2 = d_2$ ,  
then

$$a = a_1 a_2 - b_1 b_2 = d,$$

$$b = b_1 a_2 + a_1 b_2 = c,$$

so that we have a subgroup, and  
since the expressions for  $a$  and  $b$   
are invariant under the interchange  
 $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ , the subgroup is Abelian.

(b) When  $b_1 = 0$  and  $b_2 = 0$ , then  
also  $b = 0$ , so that we have  
a subgroup, but it is not Abelian  
because  $c = c_1 a_2 + d_1 c_2$   
differs from  $c_2 a_1 + d_2 c_1$  as a rule.

(c) When  $c_1 = 0$  and  $c_2 = 0$ , then  
also  $c = 0$ , so that this also  
gives a subgroup, but again  
it is not Abelian.

Write answers on this side of the paper only.

(d)  $M^+ = M$  requires  $c = -b$ . Now when  $c_1 = -b_1$  and  $c_2 = -b_2$ , then

$$b = b_1, d_2 + a_1, b_2$$

$$\text{and } c = -b_1, a_2 - d_1, b_2$$

so that  $b \neq -c$  as a rule  
and we do not have a subgroup.

**3** Recall  $\int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} t^n = \frac{n!}{s^{n+1}}$  and use

the convolution theorem to establish

$$\int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} \int_0^t d\tau (t-\tau)^m \tau^n = \frac{m!}{s^{m+1}} \frac{n!}{s^{n+1}}$$

$$= \frac{m! n!}{(m+n+1)!} \frac{(m+n+1)!}{(m+n+1)+1}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} \frac{m! n!}{(m+n+1)!} t^{m+n+1}$$

so that

$$\int_0^t d\tau (t-\tau)^m \tau^n = \frac{m! n!}{(m+n+1)!} t^{m+n+1}$$

follows.

Write answers on this side of the paper only.

[4] We have  $T_{k+1} = T_k (E + \lambda \epsilon_k)$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_{k+1} &= (E + \lambda^* \epsilon_k) T_{k+1} S T_k (E + \lambda \epsilon_k) - E \\ &\quad \left| \begin{array}{c} \underbrace{T_{k+1} S T_k}_{C = E + \epsilon_k} \\ \hline \end{array} \right. \\ &= (1 + \lambda + \lambda^*) \epsilon_k + (\lambda + \lambda^* + |\lambda|^2) \epsilon_k^2 + |\lambda|^2 \epsilon_k^3\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or } C_1 = 1 + \lambda + \lambda^*, \quad C_2 = \lambda + \lambda^* + |\lambda|^2, \\ C_3 = |\lambda|^2.$$

For  $C_1 = 0$ , we need  $\lambda + \lambda^* = -1$ , and we can have  $C_2 = 0$  if  $|\lambda|^2 = 1$  in addition, so that

$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{2} + i \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = -\frac{1}{2} - i \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3}$$

is optimal, because then  $C_1 = 0$ ,  $C_2 = 0$ , and  $C_3 = 1$ , giving

$\epsilon_{k+1} = \epsilon_k^3$  : cubic convergence, which is very fast. Here

$$\epsilon_0 = S - E, \quad \epsilon_1 = (S - E)^3, \quad \epsilon_2 = (S - E)^9,$$

and so forth.

---


$$\text{We have } S^{-1} = T T^*.$$